

- 2) Key issue: When, if ever, is it reasonable to threaten
 FO, escalation, or FS or "Doomsday"?
 (city-busting)

Many elite believe in lessening the chance of "war", or
 of certain circumstances of nuclear war, by increasing
 the chance [not merely the verbal 'threat', warning]
 of nuclear war under some circumstances.

Are the benefits of the worth the risks of making
 these threats credible?

- (b) Rather than ask, "What is the cause" of the arms race, or of
 nuclear war, or intervention"

ask: What various factors contribute to the prob, or
 shape the choices 'favorably'?

(Perhaps from a hierarchy of factors).

27 Feb 84

1. JCR would need a Freeze by spring '83:

MX testing; P testing

Deployment of P/CM

MX production money

or by mid-84:

can hold off { more MX prod.
till spring '85 { (P testing completed (?)
Deployment of SLCM
ASAT testing
"SDI" funding
"at all costs" before Dec '86

50 deployments in
East Europe

50 subs offshore

MX deployment

large SLCM deployment

also ~~KATAS~~ Trident II testing

Trident II deployment

'90's?

Revocation of SALT-I, space treaty...

NPT... for SDI/ABM testing and deployment

New nuclear powers

A use of new weapons in war

Terrorist use.

ARMS RACE

Evaluate activities as (a) supporting, or worsening, the chances of
originating (a) new nuclear weapons (s)

(b) supporting or lessening the chances
of initiation, or escalation, of nuclear
war in some circumstances.

Hyp (vs. opinion of Estab): Under no circumstances is it reasonable

(when, then, to
threaten/prepare them) 1) to initiate nuclear war.
2) TO ESCALATE nuclear war. (1)
3) FS 4) large-scale city-bombing.